

Linking Public Health and Public Safety Data on Co-occurring Disorders Among Adults in Hawaii's Criminal Justice System

Tiana Fontanilla, MPH; Sunja Kim, MPH; Kristy Bowen, BA; Ho Suk Choi, MS; Deveraux Talagi, PhD; Victoria Y. Fan, ScD; Vincent Borja, MS; Tammie Smith, MPH; Amy Curtis, PhD, MPH

ABSTRACT

To better understand the behavioral health treatment needs of adults involved in the criminal justice system and to improve the continuum of services provided to this vulnerable population, Hawaii initiated a data linkage project that connects substance use and mental health data from the state Department of Public Safety with behavioral health treatment data from the state Department of Health for the State of Hawaii. Specifically, this linkage project begins to examine behavioral health treatment levels recommended by the criminal justice system and Hawaii State Hospital inpatient psychiatric admissions. We provide a preliminary summary on individuals who were both involved in the criminal justice system and received court-ordered inpatient psychiatric treatment and outline data governance procedures, future directions, and practice recommendations.

KEY WORDS: criminal justice, data linkage, mental health, psychiatric care, public safety, substance use

Author Affiliations: Office of Public Health Studies (Ms Fontanilla) and Center on Aging (Mss Kim and Bowen, Mr Choi, and Drs Talagi and Fan), Thompson School of Social Work and Public Health, University of Hawaii at Mānoa, Honolulu, Hawaii; Interagency Council on Intermediate Sanctions, Hawaii State Judiciary, Honolulu, Hawaii (Mr Borja); and Adult Mental Health Division, Hawaii State Department of Health, Honolulu, Hawaii (Ms Smith and Dr Curtis).

The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr Treena Becker for her supervision, critical review and revision of the manuscript, and administrative and technical support. The authors thank Dr Timothy Wong for helping them acquire the data and for his administrative and technical support. The authors also thank Constance Emory and Takuya Furuhashi for their administrative and technical support with this project.

Support for this project was provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Overdose Data to Action (CDC-RFA-CE19-1904).

All authors have received funding from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Overdose Data to Action project in the past 12 months.

Conflicts of Interest: None declared.

Human Participant Compliance Statement: This project was deemed as nonhuman subjects research by the University of Hawaii Human Studies Program (Protocol IDs 2020-00457, 2021-00298).

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citation appears in the printed text and is provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Web site (<http://www.JPHMP.com>).

Written work prepared by employees of the Federal Government as part of their official duties is, under the U.S. Copyright Act, a "work of the United States Government" for which copyright protection under Title 17 of the United States Code is not available. As such, copyright does not extend to the contributions of employees of the Federal Government.

Correspondence: Tiana Fontanilla, MPH, 1250 Punchbowl St, Ste 256, Honolulu, HI 96813 (tianamf@hawaii.edu).

DOI: 10.1097/PHH.0000000000001586

Reducing recidivism and providing adequate treatment services for those with co-occurring substance use and mental illness are among Hawaii's top public health and safety priorities. As in other states, data silos, or limitation of agency data and information to only in-house use, impose barriers when coordinating care for these two vulnerable populations.^{1,2} Both behavioral health and criminal justice agencies commonly assess criminogenic risk, mental health, and substance use in order to guide client intervention. In Hawaii probation officers, parole officers, and prison case managers administer the Adult Substance Use Survey-Revised (ASUS-R) at the start of the probation period and every 6 to 12 months thereafter, depending on the level of supervision, to assess for alcohol and drug use and mental health.^{3,4}

The Hawaii State Hospital (HSH) is the only public psychiatric hospital administered by the Adult Mental Health Division (AMHD) of the Hawaii State Department of Health (DOH). The vast majority of patients in the HSH are court-ordered admissions,⁵ and many of them have co-occurring disorders, with more than 70% of patients admitted between July 2020 and June 2021 diagnosed with a co-occurring substance use disorder, a likely underestimate of all those with substance use treatment needs.^{6,7} This

presented an opportunity for data linkage between the criminal justice system and the state health department and subsequent linkages to care across both systems. Both agencies are committed to ensuring that the treatment provided to co-involved clients is appropriate for their measured risk. As part of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Overdose Data to Action (OD2A) project, Hawaii was able to initiate a novel linkage between behavioral health data from the criminal justice system and the HSH.

Methods

Cross-agency communication between the State of Hawaii Interagency Council on Intermediate Sanctions (ICIS) and DOH for this linkage project was first established through the OD2A cooperative agreement, where both agencies agreed to work collaboratively to improve data collection and sharing as well as care coordination efforts. Meetings between both organizations were scheduled at least monthly to discuss project progress and logistics, with additional meetings scheduled as needed. Memorandums of agreement and data sharing agreements were then established to outline agreed-upon OD2A project activities and expectations for data sharing and other considerations necessary to facilitate the data linkage such as data security. Staffing on-site at DOH through analysts from the University of Hawaii as a DOH HIPAA business associate carried out the record linkage and analyses.

Data request, transfer agreement, and governance forms required by each agency involved were completed, which helped ensure internal protocols and data governance procedures were established prior to the handling of any data. The data linkage project is currently in its pilot phase such that a subset of the ICIS and AMHD data had been successfully linked. Current workflows will be expanded and applied to a larger proportion of the data set until all existing and newly created records are linked.

The project linked data sets from each of the following 2 sources: (1) myAvatar, an electronic health record system developed by Netsmart and used by the AMHD, which includes data on assessments and treatment received by their clients statewide; and (2) Cyzap, an electronic assessment management platform used by ICIS to store assessment data, including those related to substance use and mental health risk, for almost all individuals who are placed on probation or parole, or are incarcerated. myAvatar contains data on AMHD clients including responses to an intake tool (the Quality-of-Life Interview-Very Brief), as well as other mental health- and substance use-related screenings and treatment data, while Cyzap

contains data collected through survey instruments such as the Level of Service Inventory–Revised (LSI-R) and the ASUS-R. Identifiers shared in both databases were client name, date of birth, and unique client identification numbers and were used for record linkage. For this pilot linkage, ASUS-R assessment records in Cyzap were linked with HSH patient records in myAvatar. Records for individuals found in both data sets were merged through probabilistic record linkage in R using the RecordLinkage package and then analyzed for recommended substance use treatment levels and inpatient psychiatric admissions between 2017 and 2020.

Results

Processing 904 HSH records from myAvatar and 10 822 ASUS-R records, a total of 107 individuals were linked across both systems who were evaluated using the ASUS-R between 2017 and 2020 and were also clients at the HSH during that same time frame (Table 1). Expressed as a proportion, 11.8% (107 of 904) of HSH psychiatric admissions also had a criminal justice ASUS-R record and 1.0% (107 of 10,822) of criminal justice clients were psychiatric admissions to the HSH.

Of the 107 matched individuals, about 75.7% ($n = 81$) were male and more than a third ($n = 39$; 36.4%) were 26 to 35 years of age at the time they received HSH services. Forty-four (41.1%) individuals were identified as having an assessment by the criminal justice system before being admitted to the HSH in this time frame. The treatment level most frequently recommended by the criminal justice system was “therapeutic community,” followed by “intensive residential treatment” (see Supplemental Digital Content Table, available at <http://links.lww.com/JPHMP/B14>).

Discussion and Conclusion

The promise of this pilot data linkage is to eventually allow us to compare the treatment level recommended to clients in Hawaii’s criminal justice system with the court-ordered treatment received in the mental health system, begin identifying gaps in the continuity of care throughout these 2 systems, and prompt subsequent reassessments or interagency referrals to more appropriate services as needed. Upon HSH admission, patients often downplay their substance use disorder or withhold information when evaluated, making it difficult for HSH treatment teams to quickly and accurately identify treatment needs. Thus, timely sharing of results from ICIS-administered mental health- and substance use-related assessments may help HSH staff to better tailor treatment plans for patients with

TABLE 1**Gender, Age, and Race of Individuals From the AMHD-HSH and ICIS-ASUS-R Data Sets, 2017-2020^a**

	AMHD-HSH		ICIS-ASUS-R		Both AMHD-HSH and ICIS-ASUS-R	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total	904	100	10 822	100	107	100
Gender						
Male	640	70.8	8 603	79.5	81	75.7
Female	264	29.2	2 012	18.6	25	23.4
Age group						
<18 y	52	0.5
18-25 y	86	9.5	1 777	16.4	15	14.0
26-35 y	240	26.5	3 652	33.7	39	36.4
36-45 y	201	22.2	2 658	24.6	22	20.6
46-55 y	176	19.5	1 747	16.1	17	15.9
≥56 y	201	22.2	936	8.6	14	13.1
Race and Ethnicity						
Asian	1 234	11.4	17	15.9
Black or African American	288	2.7	<11	supp
Hispanic/Latinx	286	2.6	<11	supp
Native Hawaiian/part Hawaiian	4 217	39.0	27	25.2
Other/mixed	2 166	20.0	25	23.4
Pacific Islander	672	6.2	<11	supp
White	1 661	15.3	24	22.4

Abbreviations: AMHD, Adult Mental Health Division; ASUS-R, Adult Substance Use Survey–Revised; HSH, Hawaii State Hospital; ICIS, Interagency Council on Intermediate Sanctions.

^a Cell values less than 11 and corresponding percentages have been suppressed.

co-occurring mental illness and substance use disorders. Findings will also be used to inform ICIS' ASUS-R and other assessment certification processes and to better train staff on methods for achieving accurate assessment scores used to assign levels of substance use treatment.

As this linkage expands to include more AMHD facilities, population-level analysis can help inform program planning by characterizing those who are more likely to respond better or worse under certain treatment settings. Furthermore, tracking the volume and treatment progress of individuals with co-occurring disorders can help justify maintaining or expanding funding for existing contracted treatment services, thus increasing referral options. Finally, this project may help identify the necessary data elements for collection at different points across both agencies, thereby consolidating screening or evaluation efforts.

Of note, only individuals whose ASUS-R assessments and/or HSH admissions occurred between 2017 and 2020 were included. Thus, the linked records may not have captured all involvement by these same individuals within the criminal justice and

mental health systems. It is possible that an individual may have been admitted to the HSH (or both HSH and ICIS) prior to 2017 but only his or her ASUS-R assessment record was captured in this data linkage, or vice versa. Thus, the number of overlapping individuals is likely to be an underestimate of those involved in both systems. In addition, AMHD offers an array of mental health treatment services at other facilities statewide, such as their community mental health centers. However, this pilot linkage project includes data from the HSH only; records from the wider AMHD client population are yet to be linked to the ASUS-R records.

Although working with subsets of data introduces these limitations, working with smaller samples for this pilot project, as opposed to all ASUS-R and HSH records in the Cyzap and myAvatar databases, respectively, helped demonstrate the capability of this novel linkage, given regulatory and privacy concerns. The project is expanding to include data from before 2017 and after 2020, as well as data from additional mental health facilities in the state. Diagnosis codes related to substance use, mental health, and other social

Implications for Policy & Practice

- Cross-agency communication between data administrators and staff with access to the data must be continuous throughout data-related activities to ensure protocol fidelity, and privacy and confidentiality of the respective agencies' clients and their data.
- Securing funding that supports work in alignment with goals or priorities shared by public health and public safety (eg, reducing recidivism) can serve as a major facilitator of sustained partnership between these systems. The funding mechanism can help streamline memorandums of agreement that memorialize and protect the integrity of the collaborative terms originally agreed upon.
- A public university working in partnership with state agencies can support project implementation needed to carry out complex record linkage activities and can help with state public health workforce development.
- Given potential regulatory, privacy, and confidentiality concerns surrounding identifiable, individual-level data, it is helpful to start a linkage project with a smaller subset of data to demonstrate the capability and value of the linkage to key stakeholders before expanding to the full data set.
- Data shared between public health and public safety should be leveraged to help identify patient treatment needs, optimize referrals to care, and minimize redundancies across both systems.

determinants of health, such as housing, will also be further examined.

It is important to acknowledge key facilitators of this ongoing project. Securing funding from OD2A and designating this work as a priority area of the cooperative agreement helped focus the scope and responsibilities in subsequent memorandums of agreement. Collaboration was also facilitated by long-standing partnerships already established by ICIS, which engages the Judiciary, Department of Public Safety, Department of the Attorney General, DOH, Office of the Public Defender, Hawaii Paroling Authority, Department of the Prosecuting Attorney, and the Honolulu Police Department.⁸ As the project involves cross-agency data sharing, it is essential for

all agencies involved in the collaboration to have knowledge, expertise, and equipment for proper data storage and transfer. Proper protocols for secure data use, transfer, and storage were developed and established prior to the transferring or handling of any data. Both data sets were made available in formats that were readily analyzable. Data cleaning and linkage are being conducted at dedicated workstations with encryption in enclosed office spaces at AMHD to ensure compliance with HIPAA and other policies. Furthermore, project staff meet regularly to address data issues and discuss data strengths and limitations. This linkage project was ultimately facilitated by the ICIS-DOH collaboration and strong support from respective leadership in their shared mission to link individuals to appropriate substance use, mental health, and other services within the care continuum during and after their involvement with the criminal justice system.⁸

References

1. Bechelli MJ, Caudy M, Gardner TM, et al. Case studies from three states: breaking down silos between health care and criminal justice. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2014;33(3):474-481.
2. Smart R, Kase CA, Meyer A, Stein BD. *Data Sources and Data-Linking Strategies to Support Research to Address the Opioid Crisis—Final Report*. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Office of Health Policy; 2018.
3. Wanberg KW. Guidelines and perspectives on the essential components of standardized and evidence-based assessment of alcohol and other drug use problems and related conditions in adult populations. <http://aodassess.com/apps/Journal/ESSENTIAL%20COMPONENTS%20OF%20STANDARDIZED%20ASSESSMENT%209-25-19.pdf>. Published 2019. Accessed March 17, 2022.
4. Department of Public Safety, Corrections Administration Policy and Procedures. Policy COR.14.26: offender assessment protocols. <https://dps.hawaii.gov/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/COR.14.26.pdf>. Published January 15, 2016. Accessed March 17, 2022.
5. Mason Architects, Inc. Context study for the Hawai'i State Hospital (DAGS Job No. 12-20-2701). <https://health.hawaii.gov/amhd/files/2018/05/Kaneohe-State-Hospital-Historic-Context-March-19-2018.pdf>. Published 2018. Accessed March 17, 2022.
6. 63% of Hawai'i State Hospital patients have used meth. *Honolulu Civil Beat*. December 31, 2019. <https://www.civilbeat.org/2019/12/63-of-hawaii-state-hospital-patients-have-used-meth>. Accessed March 17, 2022.
7. Hawai'i State Department of Health, Adult Mental Health Division, Hawai'i State Hospital. Report to the Twenty-Ninth Legislature, State of Hawaii, 2018. <https://health.hawaii.gov/amhd/files/2018/02/2018-Hawaii-State-Hospital-Annual-Report.pdf>. Published 2017. Accessed March 17, 2022.
8. State of Hawaii. Interagency Council on Intermediate Sanctions. <https://icis.hawaii.gov>. Accessed March 18, 2022.