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CPC Assessment Objectives

- Assess service provider knowledge of evidence-based principles
- Assess service provider use of criminogenic risk factors and risk instruments
- Assess criminal justice programs’ overall strengths and weaknesses in utilizing evidence-based principles
Measures of Evidence-Based Practice Specified in the CPC

- **Leadership Development (Program Implementation)**
  Program Director provides professional management of offender services and treatment programs.

- **Staff Characteristics**
  Staff have the experiences and skills to provide program services.

- **Offender Assessment (Client Pre-Service Assessment)**
  Programs apply valid risk methods and instruments, identify risk factors, and define offender risk levels in their clinical assessments.

- **Treatment Characteristics (Program Characteristics)**
  Programs reduce criminogenic targets, such as anti-social attitudes, substance abuse dependencies, impulsivity, and anti-social peers.

- **Quality Assurance (Evaluation)**
  Programs maintain internal quality checks of their program services, data management and auditing system, and the program evaluation of their treatment services.
Summarized Scores of CPC-Assessed Programs

- Leadership and Development: ▲ 74.5%
- Staff Characteristics: ▲ 67.7%
- Quality Assurance: ▲ 59.2%
- Offender Assessment: ▲ 50.8%
- Treatment Characteristics: ▲ 44.7%
- Overall Capacity: ▲ 48.1%
- Overall Content: ▲ 38.1%
- Overall Score: ▲ 25.4%

% National Average of 430 Programs Nationwide

n=11
Average CPC Scores, by Program Types

n=11

State Average: 50.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>Total Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Substance Abuse</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Offender</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n=8 n=2 n=1
Average CPC Scores, by Treatment Services Offered

n=11

State Average (multiple responses): 53.4

Psych-Educational (4 Treatment Services): 44.9
CBT Curriculum (10 Treatment Services): 52.2
Motivational Enhancement (2 Treatment Services): 70.4
Average CPC Scores, by Service Modalities Offered

n=16

State Average: 49.2

- Intensive Outpatient (5 Modalities): 56.8
- Residential Living (3 Modalities): 49.2
- Therapeutic Living (3 Modalities): 44.3
- Group Services (5 Modalities): 44.5
Interagency Council on Intermediate Sanctions (ICIS)  
RESEARCH STRATEGY

- Support the ICIS goal of improving criminal justice programs and correctional options using evidence-based principles

- Use research to help identify “what works” in the criminal justice realm

- Evaluate the effectiveness of ICIS in the following realms:
  - Assess the impact of criminogenic risk-assessment instruments in the criminal justice system
  - Identify criminal justice practices that are effective in reducing recidivism
  - Evaluate the responsiveness of criminal justice services to offender needs
30 Percent Recidivism Reduction Goal

Recidivism Rate*

- 2002: 63.3%
- 2006: 55.1%
- 2008: 52.5%
- 2009: 51.3%
- 2012: 44.3%

* Recidivism defined as re-arrests for criminal offenses, criminal contempt of court, and revocations over a three-year follow-up period.
Recidivism Rates, by Types of Offenses (2008-2009)

Recidivism Rate

- Felony Assault (n=496): 56.9%
- Felony Sex (n=138): 37.0%
- Felony Property (n=752): 68.0%
- Felony Drug (n=758): 64.4%
- Felony Other (n=284): 57.4%
- Misdemeanants (n=189): 76.2%

Average Recidivism Rate*: 51.9%

*Recidivism defined as re-arrests for criminal offenses, criminal contempt of court, and revocations over a three-year follow-up period.

Source: CYZAP, May 2010
Recidivism Rates for Drug Offenders, by Risk Classification (2008-2009)

Average Recidivism Rate*: 51.9%

* Recidivism defined as re-arrests for criminal offenses, criminal contempt of court, and revocations over a three-year follow-up period.

LSI-R RISK SCORES
Administrative  <19
Low  19 - 20
Medium  21 – 25
High  26 – 35
Surveillance  >35

Source: CYZAP, May 2010

ϕ (629)=.212; p<.001 for differences in recidivism by risk levels
Average LSI-R “Big Six” Sub-domain Percentile Scores for Drug Offenders, by Recidivists, Non-Recidivists, and High and Surveillance Offenders (2008-2009)

Source: CYZAP, May 2010
LSI-R Total Scores from Initial and Most Recent Assessments, by Risk Classification (2008-2009)

Risk and Protect Change: ANOVA, p<.001

CYZAP, May 2010